

LINDA LINGLE
GOVERNOR



CARLITO P. CALIBOSO
CHAIRMAN

JOHN E. COLE
COMMISSIONER

LESLIE H. KONDO
COMMISSIONER

STATE OF HAWAII
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND FINANCE
465 S. KING STREET, #103
HONOLULU, HAWAII 96813

Telephone: (808) 588-2020
Facsimile: (808) 588-2088

e-mail: Hawaii.PUC@hawaii.gov

June 23, 2009

Catherine P. Awakuni
Executive Director
Division of Consumer Advocacy
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
P. O. Box 541
Honolulu, Hawaii 96809

Re: Docket No. 2008-0083 - Application of Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. for
Approval of Rate Increases and Revised Rate Schedules and Rules

Dear Ms. Awakuni:

Pursuant to the Commission's Order Amending Stipulated Procedural Order, filed on January 21, 2009, the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs, Division of Consumer Advocacy ("Consumer Advocate") and the Department of the Navy on behalf of the Department of Defense ("DOD") were to file Rebuttal Information Requests ("RIRs") on June 12, 2009 (revenue requirements) and June 17, 2009 (cost of service/rate design). Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc.'s ("HECO") responses to the RIRs are due on July 2, 2009 (revenue requirements) and July 7, 2009 (cost of service/rate design).

By letter dated and filed on June 12, 2009, the Consumer Advocate requested an extension of time for the filing of RIRs and responses to RIRs. Specifically, the Consumer Advocate requested an extension of the due dates for both sets of RIRs (i.e., revenue requirement and cost of service/rate design) to July 8, 2009. In addition, the Consumer Advocate sought an extension of the due date for HECO's comprehensive responses to the RIRs, from July 2, 2009 (revenue requirements) and July 7, 2009 (cost of service/rate design) to July 28, 2009. The Consumer Advocate represented in the letter that the extension of time is necessary due to "scheduling demands, schedule conflicts beyond the Consumer Advocate's control, and a desire to provide out-of-state consultants with adequate time to conduct their analyses and address HECO's Rebuttal Testimonies filed on May 22, 2009[.]"¹ The Consumer Advocate's letter states that

¹Letter dated and filed on June 12, 2009, from the Consumer Advocate to the Commission, at 1.

Catherine P. Awakuni
June 23, 2009
Page 2

HECO does not object to the extension request, "contingent on no other dates, including the date of the interim decision and order of July 2, 2009 . . . being revised as a result."² In addition, DOD has no objections to the extension request.³

The Commission will treat the Consumer Advocate's June 12, 2009 letter as a motion for an extension of time ("Motion") under Hawaii Administrative Rules ("HAR") §§ 6-61-23 and 6-61-41. HAR § 6-61-23(a)(1) allows the Commission to enlarge a period by which a required act must be completed upon a showing of good cause provided that a written request is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed.⁴

After reviewing the entire record, the Commission grants the Motion and approves the Consumer Advocate's request for an extension of time for the filing of RIRs, from June 12 and June 17, 2009 to July 8, 2009, and for the filing of responses to RIRs, from July 2 and July 7, 2009 to July 28, 2009.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Kaiulani Shinsato at 586-2019.

Sincerely,



Carlito P. Caliboso
Chairman

CPC:KKS:cp

c: Dean K. Matsuura
Thomas W. Williams, Jr., Esq.
Dr. Kay Davoodi
James N. McCormick, Esq.

²Id. at 2.

³See id.

⁴Motions that do not involve the final determination of a proceeding may be determined by the chairperson or commissioner. See HAR § 6-61-41(e).